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ABSTRACT
The Tec kinase IL-2–inducible T cell kinase (ITK) regulates the expression of TCR-induced genes. Itk⁻/⁻ T cell responses are impaired but not absent. ITK inhibition prevented colitis disease progression and impaired T cell migration to the colon in mice. To examine the function of ITK in T cell migration to the intestine, we examined the number of gut T cells in Itk⁻/⁻ mice and then evaluated their expression of gut-homing receptors. Combined with in vitro murine T cell stimulation and in vivo migration assay using congenic B6 mice, we demonstrated an essential role for ITK in T cell migration to the intestine in mice. Reconstitution of Itk⁻/⁻ mouse CD8⁺ T cells with IFN regulatory factor 4 restored gut-homing properties, providing mechanistic insight into the function of ITK-mediated signaling in CD8⁺ T cell migration to the intestinal mucosa in mice. ImmunoHorizons, 2020, 4: 57–71.

INTRODUCTION
IL-2–inducible T cell kinase (ITK) is a Tec-family tyrosine kinase predominantly expressed in T cells and important in modulating TCR signal strength (1–3). ITK regulates the differentiation of naive CD4⁺ T cells into various Th cell subsets (4–8) and is required for effector T cell responses that protect against certain infections, as well as those that contribute to allergic and autoimmune responses (4, 7, 9–11). ITK has also been implicated in CD4⁺ T cell trafficking to nonlymphoid tissues, such as colon and brains, in two different experimental disease models (12, 13). However, the role of ITK in CD8⁺ T cell trafficking, and in particular, trafficking to the intestine, has not been closely examined.

Mucosal barriers, such as skin, respiratory tract, genital tract, and intestinal tract, are common sites for pathogen invasion.
Consequently, the trafficking of gut-homing T cells and the establishment of tissue-resident memory T cells (T\textsubscript{RM}) in the intestine are critical for an optimal mucosal immunity against enteric pathogens (14–16). For T cell trafficking to the gut, effector CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells acquire the ability to enter intestinal tissue by expressing two gut-homing receptors (e.g., integrin α4β7 and CCR9) following stimulation by all-trans retinoic acid (RA)-producing dendritic cells in mesenteric lymph node (mLN) or Peyers patches (17–20). Effector CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells upregulate CD69 and CD103 (integrin αE), and downregulate CCR7 and SIPR1 to prevent tissue egress, thereby adopting a T\textsubscript{RM} phenotype (21, 22). TGF-β, ubiquitously expressed throughout the intestinal tissue, promotes the expression of CD103 and thereby plays a key role in promoting T cell tissue retention (23).

The transcriptional regulator IFN regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) has a multitude of functions in CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells (24–26). In both CD4\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells, IRF4 serves as a molecular link that translates TCR signaling strength to transcriptional changes affecting helper T cell and effector cell differentiation pathways (24, 27). In both CD4\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells, IRF4 expression levels are directly regulated by ITK signaling (8, 28). Interestingly, IRF4 is highly upregulated in CD69\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{RM} from human lung tissue and also in adoptively transferred CD103-expressing CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells in the brain after virus infection (29, 30). However, the function of IRF4 in T\textsubscript{RM} in nonlymphoid tissues or in CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell homing to mucosal barriers has not been studied. The importance of this topic is brought to the forefront by the recent finding that a cohort of human patients with a haploinsufficiency of the IRF4 gene suffer from Whipple’s disease, a gastrointestinal disease, and more specifically, caused by impaired control of an enteric bacteria \textit{Tropheryma whippelii} (31). The discovery of this human immunodeficiency indicates a link between IRF4 expression levels and immune protection in the intestine, raising the possibility that this requirement is for high expression of IRF4 in gut T cells. Interestingly, a genetic deficiency in ITK in humans is associated with an inability to control EBV infection, ultimately leading to a fatal disease (32–35). This discovery was surprising, as studies performed in ITK\textsuperscript{−/−} mice have failed to show any defects in antiviral T cell responses (36, 37). We considered the possibility that ITK signaling might be necessary for the long-term control of a persistent or latent virus infection.

To address the requirement for ITK in the regulation of intestinal CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells, we assessed steady-state numbers of gut T cells in ITK\textsuperscript{−/−} mice under homeostatic conditions. We found that gut T cell numbers were markedly reduced and, furthermore, that ITK\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells displayed defects in gut-homing receptor expression in vitro and in homing ability to the gut in vivo. Of interest, upon the challenge with mouse gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV68), ITK\textsuperscript{−/−} mice developed a lethal intestinal inflammation during latency with high viral DNA copy numbers, accompanied by a dearth of virus-specific CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells in the intestinal tissue. Enforced expression of IRF4 in ITK\textsuperscript{−/−} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells restored T cell trafficking to the gut, suggesting an essential role for ITK-mediated TCR signaling in T cell migration to the intestine.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice**

C57BL/6 wild-type (WT), Rag1\textsuperscript{−/−}, Rag2\textsuperscript{−/−}, congenic Ly5.1 (CD45.1), and OT-1 Rag1\textsuperscript{−/−} TCR transgenic mice were purchased from Taconic Biosciences and crossed with Itk\textsuperscript{−/−} to generate mice used for experiments. All purchased mice were housed and maintained with Itk\textsuperscript{−/−} for several generations before experiments. Irf4\textsuperscript{+β} × CD4-Cre were described previously (28). Mice were housed in specific pathogen-free conditions at the University of Massachusetts Medical School in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. All uninfected mice were analyzed at 8–10 wk of age. For MHV68 experiments, mice were infected at 8–10 wk of age and analyzed at indicated time points postinfection.

**Abs and reagents for flow cytometric analyses**

Cells from the spleen, mLN, lung, bone marrow, and small and large intestine were stained with anti–mouse CD3 (145-2C11), CD4 (RM4.5), CD8(a) (53-6.7), CD19 (6D5), CD44 (IM7), CD45.1 (A20), CD45.2 (104), CD69 (H1.2F3), CD103 (M290), CD199 (eBioCW-L2), integrin α4β7 (DATK32), KLRG-1 (2F1), TCRβ (H57-597), TCRγδ (eBioGL3), and Irf4 (3E4) (from eBioscience, BD Biosciences, and Invitrogen). In some experiments, cells were stimulated with viral antigenic peptide ORF75c (KSLTYYKL) or the combination of PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1.0 μg/ml) for 5 h at 37°C in the presence of GolgiStop and GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences). After stimulation, cells were fixed and permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Kit (BD Biosciences) to stain intracellular cytokines by using following Abs: anti–mouse IFN-γ (XMGL1.2), IL-2 (JES6-5H4), TNF-α (MP6-XT22), and granzyme B (GB12) (from eBioscience, BD Biosciences, and Invitrogen). Cells were analyzed on an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

**Isolation of intraepithelial lymphocyte and lamina propria lymphocyte from the intestine**

Intestinal lymphocytes were processed as previously described (12, 38). In brief, intestinal tissues were opened longitudinally and cut into 2–3-cm pieces. Tissues were treated with 1.0 mM DTT and 0.5 M EDTA in HBSS at 37°C for several rounds. Supernatants were collected for intraepithelial lymphocyte (IEL) isolation. For lamina propria (LP) lymphocyte (LPL) isolation, the remaining tissues were digested with a mixture of collagenase D (1.0 mg/ml; Roche), neutral protease (0.1 U/ml; Worthington Biochemical), and DNase I (1.0 U/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) for 45 min (small intestine) or 50 min (colon). Cell suspensions were layered on 40%/80% Percoll (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) density gradients for lymphocyte isolations. The viability of extracted cells was assessed using trypan blue.

**Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence microscopy**

For immunohistochemistry, dissected tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) overnight and then
embedded into paraffin block for H&E staining. For immunofluorescence staining, collected tissues were embedded in TissueTek O.C.T. compound (Sakura Finetek) and snap frozen on methanol mixed with dry ice. Frozen tissues were cut into 7-μm-thick sections, air-dried for 1 h at room temperature (RT), and then fixed in acetone for 10 min at 4°C. After drying for 1 h at RT, tissue sections were rehydrated with PBS with 1.0% BSA and then stained overnight with primary Abs diluted in PBS containing 1.0% BSA, 2.0% normal rat serum, and 2.0% mouse serum in a humidified chamber. Sections were washed with PBS three times and then stained for secondary 1 h at RT. After washing, tissues were counterstained with DAPI-including ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen) for confocal microscopy. Immunofluorescent Abs and secondaries were anti-mouse CD8α Biotin (Ly-2; BD Pharmingen); streptavidin-Cy3 (BioLegend); and anti-mouse CD11c-AlexaFluor 594 (N418; BioLegend). Images were acquired by confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss) and analyzed by ZEN lite software (Carl Zeiss).

**MHV68 strains, infection, and LPS-induced viral reactivation**

Mice were infected with MHV68 via i.p. injection (10⁶ PFU) or, where indicated, by intranasal (i.n.) injection (10³ PFU). For reactivation from latency, a single subclinical dose of LPS (20 μg) was injected i.p. MHV68-M3-OVA was engineered to express chicken OVA (39). All viral stocks were propagated in Vero or NIH 3T12 cells, and viral titers were determined by plaque assay as previously described (40).

**Viral DNA copy number measurement via quantitative PCR**

To examine viral replication, organs were washed with PBS and cut into 2–3-cm pieces. Tissues were digested with lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS, and 200 mM NaCl) mixed with protease K (20 μg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) at 55°C overnight with rotation, and DNA was isolated after phenol-chloroform extraction. For viral copy number determination, DNA samples were subjected to quantitative PCR specific for viral gene ORF75c, and values were compared with a standard curve generated using a plasmid containing ORF75c. PCR primers were 5’-AAA TGG TGA AAG CCA TTT TGA-3’ (forward) and 5’-CCA CCA TCG CAT AAC AGT TG-3’ (reverse).

**OT-I adoptive transfer to MHV68-M3-OVA–infected hosts**

Splenic CD8+ T cells of OT-I WT (CD45.2) and Itk−/− (CD45.1) uninfected donor mice were isolated using EasySep Mouse CD8+ T Cell Isolation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of 5.0 × 10⁶ cells were i.v. injected into congenic hosts that were then infected with MHV68-M3-OVA–infected (1.0 × 10⁶ PFU, i.p.). Mice were sacrificed at day 7 postinfection for analysis.

**RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized with iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad), and quantitative PCR was performed with iQSYBR Green PCR Supermix (Bio-Rad). Primer sequences used for quantitative PCR were as follows: Tgfr2, forward primer (FP), 5’-AGC ATC AGG ATC ATC GGT G-3’; Tgfr2 reverse primer (RP), 5’-TGG CAA ACC GTC TCC AGA GT-3’; Rara FP, 5’-TCA TGA AGT GTG ACG ATG ACA TCC GT-3’; Rara RP, 5’-TTG GCA AGA CAA AGA C-3’; ActB FP, 5’-CGC CAC CAG TGC ATG A-3’; ActB RP, 5’-TAC AGC CCG GGG AGC ATC GT-3’.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing data analysis**

Original chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing data were obtained from National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus Database (accession GSM1309509 and GSM1309511; GSM2259177 and GSM2259178) (27). Data were analyzed in Integrative Genomics Viewer (Broad Institute of Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Harvard, Cambridge, MA) (41).

**Retroviral transduction**

pMiR-IRE5-EGFP retrovirus vectors (empty vector and IFR4) and pCL-10A1 packaging vector were cotransfected into Platinum-E RV packaging cells (Cell Biolabs) using TransIT-293 transfection reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Mirus). Isolated spleen OT-I WT and Itk−/− CD8+ T cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 (1.0 μg/ml; eBioscience) and anti-CD28 (4.0 μg/ml; eBioscience) in the presence of IL-2 (20 ng/ml; eBioscience) for 24 h before RV transduction. Viral supernatants from RV-transfected cells were harvested after 48 h and then transduced to prestimulated OT-I T cells in the presence of polybrene (8.0 μg/ml) (AmericanBio) using spin infection for 90 min at RT. OT-I CD8+ T cells were replated and then stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 plus IL-2 for 24 h. Transduced CD8+ T cells were primed in the presence of RA and TGF-β for 48 h and then adoptively transferred to congenic WT naive hosts for further analyses.

**Statistical analyses**

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism 8 GraphPad Software. Differences between individual groups were analyzed for statistical significance using unpaired or paired two-tailed Student t tests (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

**RESULTS**

**Itk−/− mice have a steady-state reduction in numbers of gut-resident T cells**

Our previous study demonstrated that a small molecule inhibitor of ITK could interfere with T cell–mediated colitis and that disease prevention was associated with reduced numbers of T cells in the colon (12). These findings suggested a role for ITK signaling in T cell gut homing, prompting us to examine steady-state gut-resident T cells in Itk−/− mice. Confocal imaging of small intestine (duodenum) showed the expected localization of CD8+ T cells in...
the intestinal epithelium and LP compartments of uninfected WT mice (Fig. 1A, 1B). Strikingly, uninfected Itk−/− mice had noticeably fewer CD8+ T cells in both the LP and intestinal epithelium compartments (Fig. 1A, 1B). These findings were confirmed by flow cytometry of dissociated intestinal tissues from WT and Itk−/− mice; in contrast, no differences in T cell numbers were observed in the spleen (Fig. 1C, 1D). To determine whether there was any alteration in the T cell subsets found in the intestinal compartments of the intestinal tissue in the spleen (Fig. 1C, 1D). To determine whether there was any agreement in the T cell subsets found in the intestinal compartments of the intestinal tissue in uninfected Itk−/− mice, we examined IELs in the small intestine for CD8αα, CD8αβ, CD4+ CD8αβ−, and CD4+ CD8αβ− subsets of TCRβ+ or TCRγδ+ cells. This analysis revealed no profound differences in specific IEL subpopulations in Itk−/− mice and, instead, confirmed a global reduction in intestinal T cell numbers in the absence of ITK (Supplemental Fig. 1A, 1B). Overall, these data are consistent with a role for ITK in gut T cells under steady-state homeostatic conditions.

**Impaired expression of gut-homing receptors on CD8+ T cells in Itk−/− mice**

We next assessed expression of homing receptors known to be important in migration and/or tissue retention in the intestine. We compared WT to Itk−/− CD8+ T cells isolated from the intestinal epithelium and LP compartments of intestinal tissue under steady-state conditions for the expression of markers associated with tissue-resident T cells, CD69 and CD103. As shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, of the few CD8+ T cells that were recovered from all compartments of the intestinal tissue in Itk−/− mice, a smaller proportion of Itk−/− small intestine IEL (siIEL) and small intestine LPL (siLPL) cells coexpressed CD69 and CD103 compared with WT counterparts. In the colon IEL (cIEL), we observed a modest decrease in the proportions of CD69+ CD103+ cells in Itk−/− mice, whereas no differences were found in the colon LPL (cLPL) compartment when comparing WT and Itk−/− mice. Examination of gut-homing receptors CCR9 and integrin α4β7 expression also revealed a significant defect in the expression of these gut-homing molecules on small intestinal IEL and LPL from Itk−/− mice compared with WT mice, a defect that was seen for both CD69+ and CD69− cell subsets (Fig. 2C–F). These data were consistent with a substantial reduction in the proportion of Itk−/− CD8+ T cells expressing CD103, CCR9, and integrin α4β7 in the mLN (Fig. 2G, 2H). These data strongly suggest that the reduced numbers of gut CD8+ T cells in Itk−/− mice are associated with impaired expression of gut tissue homing and retention molecules and that this defect may arise during T cell priming in the mLN.

**ITK is required for optimal expression of gut-homing receptors and migration to the intestinal mucosa**

The data presented above suggest that ITK is required for optimal upregulation of gut-homing migration and retention molecules following TCR activation and that a defect in this process contributes to impaired migration or retention of Itk−/− CD8+ T cells in this tissue. To test this, we stimulated WT and Itk−/− OT-I T cells with anti-CD3 plus RA or TGF-β for 48 h in vitro as previously described (42) and then examined the cells for expression of CD103, CCR9, and integrin α4β7. In the absence of TCR stimulation, neither WT nor Itk−/− OT-I T cells expressed...
these molecules. Following TCR stimulation alone, both WT and Itk−/− OT-I T cells exhibited a modest upregulation of these receptors, but no difference was seen between cells of the two genotypes. When these cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 plus RA or TGF-β, Itk−/− cells showed reduced expression of CD103, or CCR9 and integrin α4β7, respectively, compared with WT cells (Fig. 3A, 3B). The impaired upregulation of gut-homing receptors observed on Itk−/− cells was not a consequence of choosing a single dose of RA or TGF-β, as extensive titrations of these ligands failed to alter the responses of Itk−/− cells (Supplemental Fig. 2A–F). We also assessed expression of TGF-βRII and RARα to determine whether impaired receptor expression might account for the reduced responses of Itk−/− cells to these compounds. Although we observed an interesting dose-response effect of RA on the expression of Tgfbr2, in the presence of the standard doses of RA (10 nM) and TGF-β (5.0 ng/ml) used in our functional
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studies, no significant differences in Tgfb2 or Rara mRNA levels were seen when comparing WT and Itk^{-/-} OT-I cells (Supplementary Fig. 2G, 2H). Overall, these data confirm that Itk^{-/-} OT-I cells have impaired responses to TCR stimulation plus RA or TGF-β, leading to suboptimal expression of gut-homing receptors.

Next, we tested whether this defect in gut-homing receptors would lead to a homing defect in vivo. We stimulated WT or Itk^{-/-} OT-I cells with anti-CD3 in the presence of RA (10 nM) and TGF-β (5.0 ng/ml) for 48 h as above and then adoptively transferred the cells to congenic naïve WT recipients (Fig. 3C). Three days after cell transfer, recipient mice were sacrificed, and OT-I T cell proportions in the spleen, mLN, and small intestine LPL and IEL compartments were determined. No differences were seen between WT and Itk^{-/-} OT-I T cells in the spleen or mLN, regardless of stimulation conditions (Fig. 3D, 3E). When recipient mice received WT OT-I cells that had been stimulated with anti-CD3 plus RA and TGF-β, substantial populations of transferred cells were detected in the intestinal tissue, particularly in the sILPL compartment, compared with mice that received WT OT-I cells that had been primed in vitro with anti-CD3 stimulation alone. Recipients injected with Itk^{-/-} T cells had few transferred cells in the intestinal tissue, regardless of whether RA and TGF-β were present during their initial stimulation. To determine whether the low numbers of Itk^{-/-} cells recovered from the recipient mice were a result of enhanced cell death in the absence of ITK, we examined activated caspase 3 expression in the donor cells recovered following transfer of WT or Itk^{-/-} cells. Although a modest reduction in caspase 3^{+} Itk^{-/-} cells was seen in mLN, no other differences were detected when comparing WT to Itk^{-/-} cells in the spleen or the small intestinal mucosa (Fig. 3F). These data are consistent with a defect in Itk^{-/-} T cell migration into the small intestine LP and IEL, rather than a substantial increase in Itk^{-/-} T cell death after adoptive transfer. Overall, these findings indicate...
that ITK is required for CD8+ T cells to acquire gut-homing properties in response to TCR plus RA and TGF-β stimulation and that this function correlates with ITK signaling functioning in the upregulation of gut-homing receptors during T cell priming.

**Latent MHV68 infection in Itk−/− mice results in failure to control viral replication in the intestine**

Several clinical studies reported a lethal lymphoproliferation in EBV patients with ITK mutations due to the failure to control viral replication (32, 33). To investigate possible functional consequences...
of the T cell gut-homing defect observed in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice, we infected WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice with 10<sup>5</sup> PFU of MHV68 via i.p. injection. We first examined the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response in the spleen, a major site of virus replication. This analysis revealed a reduced frequency of MHV68-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice compared with controls at both day 7 and day 14 postinfection (Fig. 4A). Upon ex vivo stimulation with the immunodominant epitope ORF75c (43), a smaller percentage of Itk<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells produced IFN-γ, and in addition, the frequencies of ORF75c-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were reduced in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> compared with WT infected mice, a difference that persisted to day 60 postinfection (Fig. 4B–D). MHV68 viral DNA copy numbers in the spleens were higher in infected Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice than in WT mice at day 7 postinfection, but by day 14 and later, no further differences in splenic viral DNA copy number were observed (Fig. 4E). This modestly impaired antiviral immune response by Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice is consistent with previous studies of other acute virus infections (36, 37). Despite the reduced magnitude of the antiviral CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response, Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice ultimately cleared each of these acute viral infections and showed no defect in the control of acute MHV68 infection in the spleen.

Additional cohorts of WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice were infected with MHV68 as above and were assessed for their ability to control latent MHV68. In contrast to WT mice, which remained healthy throughout the time course of these studies, Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice showed signs of gastrointestinal distress and began to succumb to the infection after long-term latency (>day 100 postinfection) (Fig. 4F). The impaired survival of latently infected Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice was accompanied by high viral loads in the small intestine (Fig. 4G). These findings prompted us to examine viral DNA copy numbers in the intestine at early timepoints postinfection (days 7 and 14), revealing that viral DNA copy numbers were significantly higher in the small intestines of Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice compared with WT controls at these times (Fig. 4H). Consistent with a failure of virus control, Itk<sup>−/−</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in both the spleen and the intestine showed an impaired granzyme B production compared with WT CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells at day 7 of postinfection in response to a viral peptide stimulation (Fig. 4I). These data suggest that Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice fail to control viral replication in the intestine from the early to late phase of infection, which leads to viral persistence.

We also tested whether latently infected Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice displayed exacerbated pathology following viral reactivation induced by TLR agonists, such as LPS or Cpg DNA (44). WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice were infected with MHV68 and then treated with a single i.p. injection of a subclinical dose of LPS (20 µg/mouse) at varying time points postinfection (days 30, 60, 90, and 100) (Supplemental Fig. 3A). Interestingly, Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice harboring latent MHV68 and treated with LPS exhibited severe gut inflammation; furthermore, the severity of the inflammation increased as the latency period increased, such that the majority (11 out of 18, 61.1%) of Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice given LPS at day 90–100 postinfection succumbed within 1–2 d of treatment (Supplemental Fig. 3B, 3C). In contrast, latently infected WT mice given LPS, naive WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice, and both WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice given LPS at the early time point (day 7) were all devoid of detectable gut pathology and severe inflammation (Supplemental Fig. 3D–F). A similar set of findings was also observed in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice given their primary MHV68 infection by the i.n. route (1.0 × 10<sup>3</sup> PFU per mouse). When these latently infected mice were treated with a single i.p. injection of LPS at day 60 postinfection, severe gut pathology was observed (Fig. 4J). Examination of the lungs of these mice showed only a modest level of inflammation and no obvious differences between WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> responses (Supplemental Fig. 3G). Collectively, these results suggest that ITK is required for the control of latent MHV68 infection specifically in the intestine.

Antiviral CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells require ITK for migration to the intestinal tissue

In light of the intestinal pathology observed in MHV68-infected Itk<sup>−/−</sup> hosts, we considered whether these mice had a defect in generating antiviral CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses in the intestine. We assessed MHV68-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the spleen, mLN, IEL, and LPL compartments from both small intestine and colon tissue at day 7 postinfection in WT and Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice had significantly reduced numbers of total CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the mLN, IEL, and LPL, and consequently, had very few virus-specific cells in these compartments (Fig. 5A). Although the numbers of total splenic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in infected WT versus Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice were comparable, fewer CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were found in the intestine of Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 5B); furthermore, both tetramer-positive CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell proportions and absolute numbers were significantly reduced in all tissues of Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice, with the largest difference seen in sILP (Fig. 5A, 5C).

To determine if the defect in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell numbers in the intestine is a feature intrinsic to a lack of ITK in these cells or to defects caused by altered environmental factors in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice, we performed adoptive transfer studies. Recipient mice received congenically marked WT (CD45.2) or Itk<sup>−/−</sup> (CD45.1) OT-1 TCR transgenic (Rag<sup>−/−</sup>) CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (5.0 × 10<sup>5</sup>), followed by infection with an MHV68 strain expressing OVA (MHV68-M3-OVA) (Fig. 5D). Despite the reduced percentages of MHV68-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the spleens of MHV68-infected intact Itk<sup>−/−</sup> compared with WT mice, no such difference was observed for WT versus Itk<sup>−/−</sup> OT-1 T cells in the spleens of adoptive transfer recipients at day 7 postinfection. In contrast, the frequencies of Itk<sup>−/−</sup> OT-1 T cells in the small IEL and LP were significantly reduced compared with those of WT OT-1 cells (Fig. 5E, 5F). The Itk<sup>−/−</sup>-deficient OT-1 T cells in these tissues also had smaller proportions expressing CD69 and CD103 compared with WT OT-1 cells (Fig. 5G, 5H), consistent with a potential defect in Itk<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell migration and/or tissue retention in the intestine. Taken together, these data indicate that ITK is required in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells for efficient homing and/or retention of these cells in the intestinal tissue in response to virus.

Impaired IRF4 expression leads to the defect in gut-homing receptor expression

Based on the data presented above, we questioned how ITK signaling might regulate gut-homing properties of effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. One likely candidate to mediate this function is a
transcription factor that is highly responsive to TCR signaling in an ITK-dependent manner, such as IRF4 (28). IRF4 is also known to bind to regulatory regions of gut-homing receptor genes, as examination of published datasets revealed IRF4/basic leucine zipper ATF-like transcription factor (BATF) complex binding sites on the \(Ccr9\), \(Itgae\), \(Itga4\), and \(Itgb7\) loci in activated T cells (27, 44). As an example (see Supplemental Fig. 4A), both \(Ccr9\) and \(Itga4\) appear to have prominent IRF4/BATF binding sites.

To test whether IRF4 expression levels might be important in programming activated CD8\(^+\) T cells for gut-homing, we assessed steady-state T cell numbers in the small intestine of \(Irf4\) heterozygous mice (\(Irf4^{+/-}\)CD4-Cre; hereafter referred to as \(Irf4^{+/-}\)), in which TCR-dependent IRF4 expression levels are reduced but not abolished (24, 45). Because of a dysregulated immune system in homozygous \(Irf4^{fl/fl}\) mice (28, 46), mice bearing T cells lacking all IRF4 expression were not examined. In \(Irf4^{+/-}\) mice, steady-state numbers of CD4\(^+\) T cells in the small intestine were comparable to wild-type littermate controls. In contrast, we observed reduced proportions and numbers of \(Irf4^{+/-}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells in the IEL and LP compared with controls (Fig. 6A, 6B). When assessed for CD103, CCR9, and integrin \(\alpha_{4}\beta_{7}\) expression, we also found that CD8\(^+\) T cells from the small intestine of \(Irf4^{+/-}\) had reduced expression of CD103 compared with wild-type cells but no change in expression of CCR9 or integrin \(\alpha_{4}\beta_{7}\) (Fig. 6C, 6D).

To assess more directly whether optimal expression of IRF4 is important in regulating gut-homing receptor expression during T cell activation, we tested \(Irf4^{+/-}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells for their in vitro responses to stimulation in the presence of RA or TGF-\(\beta\). Consistent with the data shown above for \(Irf4^{+/-}\) cells analyzed directly ex vivo from the small intestine, we observed reduced expression of CD103 on \(Irf4^{+/-}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells compared with control T cells in response to varying doses (0.5–5.0 ng/ml) of TGF-\(\beta\) (Supplemental Fig. 4B). Parallel studies examining the responsiveness of CCR9 and integrin \(\alpha_{4}\beta_{7}\) to RA revealed reduced CCR9 expression by \(Irf4^{+/-}\) CD8\(^+\) T cells compared with WT controls in the presence of low levels of RA (Supplemental Fig. 4C) but no consistent differences in integrin \(\alpha_{4}\beta_{7}\) expression.

**FIGURE 5.** Effector CD8\(^+\) T cell numbers in the intestinal tissue of MHV68-infected mice are reduced in the absence of ITK.

(A) MHV68-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells in various organs at day 7 postinfection were identified by tetramer staining. Data are representative of three experiments. (B and C) Compilations of absolute numbers of total (B) and MHV68-specific (C) CD8\(^+\) T cells in various organs at day 7 postinfection. (D–F) Congenically labeled OT-I WT (CD45.2, blue box) or itk\(^{-/-}\) (CD45.1, red box) CD8\(^+\) T cells (5.0 × 10\(^5\) cells) were transferred into congenic WT recipients. Recipient mice were infected with MHV68-M3-OVA and OT-I cells were analyzed at day 7 postinfection (E). Compilation of data from four to six infected recipients per group is shown (F). (G and H) OT-I cells shown in (A) from the small intestine were analyzed for CD69 and CD103 expression (G). Compilation of percentages of CD69\(^+\) CD103\(^+\) OT-I T cells from flow cytometric data are shown (H). Statistical significance between individual groups was analyzed using unpaired or paired two-tailed Student t tests (\(*p < 0.05\), **\(p < 0.01\), ***\(p < 0.001\)).
These correlative data suggested a mechanistic link between ITK signaling and IRF4 upregulation in the priming of efficient gut-homing CD8+ effector T cells.

Enforced expression of IRF4 in Itk−/− CD8+ T cells restores migration to the intestine
The findings with Irf4−/− CD8+ T cells prompted us to test whether enforced expression of IRF4 could restore efficient gut-homing properties to Itk−/− CD8+ T cells. For these studies, WT and Itk−/− OT-I CD8+ T cells were activated in vitro and then transduced with a RV carrying GFP alone (empty vector) or GFP plus IRF4 (IRF4). Following this, transduced T cells were cultured with RA and TGF-β for 48 h and then adoptively transferred into congenic naive WT hosts. Three days after transfer, recipient mice were analyzed for OT-I T cells in the small intestine (Fig. 7A). As shown, WT and Itk−/− OT-I cells were expressing comparable levels of GFP from the empty vector or the IRF4 virus, respectively (Fig. 7B). We then assessed the expression levels of IRF4 in both WT and Itk−/− OT-I T cells (Fig. 7C). As expected, Itk−/− T cells transduced with empty virus expressed lower levels of IRF4 compared with WT T cells, and in both cases, IRF4 expression levels were increased in cells transduced with the IRF4-expressing virus (Fig. 7C).

Next, we assessed the localization of the transferred cells in the recipients. We first observed increased proportions and absolute numbers of both IRF4 transduced WT and Itk−/− CD8+ T cells in the mLN and small intestine LP of hosts compared with cells transduced with empty vector (Fig. 7D−F). Examination of transferred cells in these sites indicated substantial improvement in the gut-homing capacity of Itk−/− CD8+ T cells when transduced with the IRF4 virus compared with the empty vector; in these studies, enforced IRF4 expression restored the gut-homing of Itk−/− CD8+ T cells to that seen for empty virus-transduced WT T cells. Further supporting a key role for IRF4 in regulating gut-homing properties of CD8+ T cells, even WT T cells transduced with the IRF4 virus showed improved gut-homing compared with cells transduced with empty vector. Interestingly, this improved gut-homing capability via IRF4 overexpression in

(Supplemental Fig. 4D). These correlative data suggested a mechanistic link between ITK signaling and IRF4 upregulation in the priming of efficient gut-homing CD8+ effector T cells.
Itk<sup>−/−</sup> T cells was correlated with enhanced gut-homing receptor expression upon RV transduction (Fig. 7G, 7H). Together, these data confirm that optimal IRF4 expression is critical for gut-homing of effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and support the conclusion that IRF4 expression levels provide the link between TCR signaling mediated by ITK to the migration of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells to the intestine by inducing optimal expression of gut-homing receptors.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we show that mice lacking ITK have a dramatic reduction in tissue-resident T cells in the intestinal mucosa and that as a consequence, Itk<sup>−/−</sup> mice are unable to mount a protective immune response to a viral infection in the gastrointestinal tract. Previous studies have shown that long-term maintenance
of CD8 T RM in mucosal tissues provides for an immediate protective response against reinfection by the same virus or due to reactivation of a latent virus (47–50). T RM are also known to express constitutively high levels of cytolytic molecules, such as granzyme B and perforin, despite the absence of Ag after viral clearance (51). These data support the notion that the lack of gut CD8 T cells in Itk−/− mice is strongly associated with the ongoing uncontrolled replication of MHV68 in this tissue. Consistent with this, the pathology showing tissue disruption was only observed in the intestine but not in other organs (e.g., spleen or lung) of infected Itk−/− mice.

Although Itk−/− mice have a steady-state deficiency in gut-resident T cells, we do not observe spontaneous gastrointestinal disease in uninfected Itk−/− mice. Furthermore, administration of a single dose of LPS (i.p.) into uninfected Itk−/− mice also caused no tissue pathology, despite its known effect on intestinal epithelial permeability (52, 53). However, LPS treatment of latency MHV68-infected Itk−/− mice caused a massive disruption of IEL regardless of the route of infection. We reasoned that long-term persistence of virus in the intestine caused a low level of chronic tissue damage, as has previously been reported in mice infected with CMV (54). Thus, when these mice are treated with LPS, the increase in intestinal epithelial permeability induced by the LPS triggers an irreparable level of acute tissue damage that is fatal in long-term latently infected Itk−/− mice.

To test the whether the defect in Itk−/− CD8 T cells in the intestine was due to an intrinsic role for ITK in these T cells, we transferred congenically marked WT or Itk−/− OT-I CD8 T cells into recipients. Although a cotransfer of WT and Itk−/− cells would have been preferable, we felt that the added time and expense of breeding CD45.1/CD45.2 heterozygous mice needed to perform the cotransfer experiment were not warranted in this case, as our separate adoptive transfer studies revealed a profound defect in gut homing/persistence of transferred Itk−/− OT-I T cells.

Our data also indicated that production of effector molecules (e.g., IFN-γ and granzyme B) is broadly impaired in Itk−/− CD8 T cells from both the spleen and the intestine after viral infection (Fig. 4B, 4I). Furthermore, Itk−/− mice also show an overall reduction in the numbers of virus-specific CD8 T cells post-infection (Fig. 5A, 5C). Therefore, one component of the impaired control of MHV68 in the intestines of Itk−/− mice is likely to be the overall reduced antiviral T cell response that is visible in all tissues of the mice. In this regard, we also have not directly investigated whether ITK is important in antiviral CD4 T cells. Our previous studies examining CD4 T cell–mediated colitis in the adoptive transfer model have indicated that CD4 T cells may also require ITK signaling for efficient gut-homing (12) and thus may also be required for efficient viral control in the intestine.

Although further studies are warranted to fully elucidate a mechanism for the gut-specific failure of virus control in Itk−/− mice, we reason that a population of T RM is critical for the control of virus replication in the intestine, the largest mucosal immune tissue in the host. To support this notion, the impaired viral control in the small intestine of Itk−/− mice correlates with the more substantial T cell deficit in this tissue site (Figs. 1, 5). Although we did not examine antiviral immunity of Itk−/− mice to other enteric viruses, our data suggest that effective protection against gut-specific viral persistence is likely to be associated with the successful generation of T RM in the intestinal barrier, along with the functional competence of these effector cells in promoting antiviral immunity.

The current study reinforces previous data demonstrating an important role for ITK signaling in the upregulation of IRF4 in T cells stimulated through the TCR. We previously reported that a small molecule inhibitor of ITK could potently inhibit IRF4 expression in stimulated OT-I CD8 T cells (28). In this report, we confirm this finding in genetically ITK-deficient CD8 T cells and show that impaired IRF4 expression in Itk−/− OT-I T cells correlates with reduced T cell numbers in the small intestine after adoptive transfer (Fig. 7C). ITK has also been shown to be important for IRF4 expression in CD4 T cells (8). To date, the precise pathway from ITK to IRF4 upregulation is not fully known. Studies of IRF4 transcriptional regulation have implicated NFAT (25), a transcription factor that is known to be ITK dependent for its activation in T cells (4). Whether a defect in NFAT activation alone accounts for the impaired IRF4 upregulation in Itk−/− T cells or whether other factors contribute to IRF4 expression will be the subject of future studies of this signaling pathway.

We observed enhanced gut homing and/or retention of both WT and Itk−/− CD8 T cells after retroviral transduction of IRF4 in these cells. It is also possible that IRF4 is mediating enhanced survival of activated CD8 T cells after adoptive transfer. Although we did not see significant increases in both WT and Itk−/− transferred T cells in the spleens after adoptive transfer of IRF4-transduced cells, we did find increases in cell numbers in the mLN s as well as the intestinal tissue (Fig. 7F). Additionally, the increased numbers of IRF4-transduced cells in the small intestinal LP correlated with increased expression of gut-homing receptors. Thus, these data support a role for IRF4 in regulating gut-homing receptors.

Our work establishes a defect in gut-homing and/or gut tissue retention of Itk−/− CD8 T cells. In an autoimmune disease setting, the migratory function of CD4 T cells to multiple organs, such as liver and lung, is severely impaired in the absence of ITK (9). We previously examined the status of Itk−/− T cells in the lung, as this is an important route of MHV68 infection (38). Unlike the cellular defect in the gut, Itk−/− mice do not show any significant reduction in T cell numbers in the lung at steady-state. Consistently, previous studies showed that Itk−/− mice elicit a comparable antiviral response in the lung relative to WT mice, following influenza A virus infection (55). More comprehensive studies examining the migratory capacity of Itk−/− T cells to other organs and various tissue sites are clearly warranted in the future.

Our data indicate that T cell priming in the mLN fails to upregulate high levels of CD103, integrin α4β7, and CCR9 on Itk−/− CD8 T cells. This defect can be recapitulated by in vitro stimulation of naive Itk−/− OT-I CD8 T cells in the presence of TGF-β and RA. In addition, transfer of these in vitro–activated T cells into WT recipients confirms their gut-homing defect. Impaired expression of CD103 on primed Itk−/− CD8 T cells
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can be explained by the demonstrated cooperativity of TGF-β-induced Smad2/3 and TCR-induced NFAT1 activation for optimal CD103 induction (42), as ITK signaling is known to be required for robust TCR-induced NFAT1 activation (4). However, examination of integrin α4β7 and CCR9 upregulation on cells stimulated with varying doses of TGF-β and/or RA indicates that Itk−/− CD8+ T cells have a more global defect in responding to TGF-β and RA. We tested the possibility that this might result from impaired expression of TGF-βRII and/or RARs in Itk−/− CD8+ T cells. Although we did observe reduced Tgfr2 mRNA in Itk−/− CD8+ T cells stimulated with low concentrations of RA, no impairment in Tgfr2 expression was seen in Itk−/− CD8+ T cells when stimulations were performed in the presence of TGF-β plus RA. For Rara mRNA expression, we did observe modest reductions (2–3-fold) in Itk−/− compared with WT CD8+ T cells after stimulation through the TCR plus TGF-β and RA, but we have no direct evidence that this difference is functionally relevant. As an alternative, we suggest that Itk−/− CD8+ T cells may lack other factors (e.g., Smad3, other RAR isoforms, or retinoid X receptor [RXR]) required for optimal responses to TGF-β and RA.

Transcriptome analyses of tissue-resident T cells in the brain or lung tissues of humans and mice have revealed that IRF4 is one of the most highly upregulated transcription factors in these cells (29, 30, 56). However, detailed functional studies of IRF4 in T cell homing to mucosal barriers have not been reported, although one study examined IRF4 in homing of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells to visceral adipose tissue (57). Thus, to our knowledge, our data provide new insights into how TCR signaling via the ITK–IRF4 axis controls the migration of CD8+ T cells to the intestine. Interestingly, Kurachi et al. (58) and others have found that IRF4/BATF bind directly to the 5’ upstream regions of gut-homing molecule genes (e.g., Ccr9 and Itga4) (27, 59). Furthermore, BATF, the most important binding partner of IRF4, is known to be required for the expression of CCR9 and integrin α4β7 in response to RA in CD4+ T cells, and BATF-deficient T cells are greatly impaired in trafficking to the small intestine (44). Although we have not tested whether enforced expression of BATF would also restore gut-homing potential to Itk−/− T cells, we reasoned that IRF4 was a more likely candidate in our system because of the greater magnitude of Irf4 induction after TCR stimulation compared with Batf (ImmGen Consortium Database).

Our previous data connect ITK signaling to the magnitude of IRF4 upregulation (28). Importantly, a recent study reported that IRF4 haploinsufficiency in humans leads to severe gastrointestinal inflammation due to an impaired control of T. whipplei (31). Although this clinical report did not directly assess whether patients exhibited impaired T cell homing to the intestine, our data provide a potential mechanism to account for this surprising susceptibility in patients carrying a single allele deficiency in IRF4.
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Supplemental Fig. 1. Similar subset composition of gut-resident TCRβ⁺ and TCRγδ⁺ IELs in the small intestines of WT and Itk⁻/⁻ mice

(A-B) Flow cytometric analysis of intraepithelial lymphocytes from small intestines (siIEL) of naïve WT and Itk⁻/⁻ mice. Cells shown are gated on lymphocytes (top row). Gated TCRβ⁺ (blue box, left two plots) or TCRγδ⁺ (red box, right two plots) cells were examined for CD8αα versus CD8αβ staining (middle row). Gated TCRβ⁺ CD8α⁻ CD8β⁻ cells were examined for CD4 expression (bottom row) (A). Compilation of data from 4-7 mice per genotype indicate the relative proportions of each subset (B).
Supplemental Fig. 2. Titrations of RA and TGF-β reveal the global unresponsiveness of Itk−/− CD8+ T cells to these factors

(A-F) Isolated WT and Itk−/− OT-I CD8+ T cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 (1.0 µg/mL) in the presence or absence of TGF-β (0.5 to 10 ng/mL) plus RA (10nM or 1.0 to 50nM) for 48 h, and CD103 (A-B), CCR9 (C-D), and integrin α4β7 (E-F) expression were examined. (G-H) mRNA expression of Tgfbr2 (G) and Rara (H) from the same experiments are shown. Data are compiled from 2-3 independent experiments.
Supplemental Fig. 3. Lethal inflammation in the gut, but not the lung, of *Itk*<sup>−/−</sup> mice is induced by LPS administration to MHV68 latently-infected but not uninfected mice

(A) A single dose of LPS (20 μg) was injected (i.p.) into MHV68-infected (i.p.) WT and *Itk*<sup>−/−</sup> hosts at varying timepoints during latency. (B) Percentage survival of WT and *Itk*<sup>−/−</sup> infected mice after LPS injection. (C-F) Histologic analyses of duodenum from LPS-treated (D1) MHV68-infected (D100) (C), uninfected naïve (D), LPS-treated (D3) uninfected (E), and LPS-treated (D3) MHV68-infected (D7) (F) WT and *Itk*<sup>−/−</sup> mice. (G) Histology of lungs from MHV68-infected mice (i.n.) at D60 after D3 of post-LPS injection (i.p.). Shown images are at 10X magnification. Bar, 75 μm. Data are representative of 4 mice of each genotype.
Supplemental Fig. 4. Correlation between IRF4 and the regulation of gut-homing receptor expression in CD8⁺ T cells

(A) ChIP-Seq analysis of the binding of BATF and IRF4 to genomic regions in the proximity of Ccr9, Itgae, Itga4, and Itgαe from NCBI GEO Database. (B-D) Spleen Irf4⁺/+ and Irf4⁺/⁻ CD8⁺ T cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 ± varying concentrations of TGF-β (0.2 to 5.0 ng/mL) or RA (0.1 to 2.0 nM) for 48 h, and CD103 (B), CCR9 (C), and integrin α4β7 (D) expression were analyzed. Mean fluorescence of each molecule was enumerated in the graph at the right.